This article was downloaded by: On: *16 January 2011* Access details: *Access Details: Free Access* Publisher *Taylor & Francis* Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



To cite this Article Almog, Joseph , Kraus, Shmuel and Glattstein, Baruch(1986) 'ETK-an operational explosive testing kit', Journal of Energetic Materials, 4: 1, 159 – 167 To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/07370658608011339 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07370658608011339

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

#### ETK-AN OPERATIONAL EXPLOSIVE TESTING KIT

Joseph Almog, \* Shmuel Kraus, and Baruch Glattstein

Division of Criminal Identification, Israel National Police, Jerusalem, Israel

## ABSTRACT

A chemical kit for field determination of traces of explosives on hands of suspects is described. The system involves three components: dry sampling devices ("detection buttons"), and two tubes of chemical reagents. It detects virtually all the common military explosives, and there is also an option to detect home-made explosives based on inorganic fertilizers. Detection is based on two well known chemical reactions for which optimal conditions have been developed. In stage I polynitro aromatics are detected by the formation of colored Meisenheimer complexes. In stage II organic nitrates and nitramines are consequently detected by modified Griess test.

\* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Journal of Energetic Materials vol. 4, 159-167 (1986) This paper is not subject to U.S. copyright. Published in 1986 by Dowden, Brodman & Devine, Inc.

#### THE PROBLEM

The past several years have seen an alarming increase in the use of explosives in criminal and terrorist activities. In such circumstances many suspects must be screened quickly for recent contact with explosives; and police, customs and other security agencies must be able to determine rapidly whether a suspected substance is an explosive. The requirement presented to us in the late 1970's was for a simple, quick, reliable and inexpensive device for the detection of traces of explosives on hands of suspects and on objects, and the ability to distinguish between explosive and non-explosive material.

## WHY A CHEMICAL KIT?

Explosive-vapor detectors are relatively complicated and expensive. Dogs require an elaborate and expensive logistical system of training and are not always reliable. Thus, we decided to study the possibility of utilizing "wet chemistry". The idea was to find the shortest sequence of chemical spot-tests which would indicate the presence of minute amounts of explosives by formation of specific colors. Indeed, we found a very short scheme that, by a minimal number of reactions, enables the detection of practically all military explosives. By modifying the chemical composition of some known reagents, we managed to shorten the reaction time and not less important-to carry out the entire process at room temperature. The assembly received the name Explosive Testing Kit (ETK).

Downloaded At: 14:07 16 January 2011

160

## CHEMISTRY OF ETK

The vast majority of military explosives contain one of the three following chemical groups: polynitro aromatics, organic nitrates (nitrate esters) and nitramines (Figure 1).

A thorough literature survey<sup>1</sup> as well as numerous laboratory experiments led us to the conclusion that the shortest and most economical sequence for the formation of colored products from all the above military explosives is the following (Figure 2):

Polynitro-aromatics, such as INT, INB, picric acid and its derivatives, form highly colored compounds (Meisenheimer complexes) upon reaction with alkali . Common alkali<sup>2</sup> formulations for explosives analysis contain 5-10% KOH or NaOH in ethanol or water<sup>3</sup>. Nitrate esters such as NG, EGDN, PETN and NC under similar conditions, undergo alkaline hydrolysis<sup>4</sup> producing nitrite ions (NO2-) which can be readily detected by the well known Griess reaction<sup>5-7</sup>. This includes the action of  $NO_2$  - ions on an aromatic amine, usually sulfanilic acid, in an acidic medium. The diazonium ion thus formed is then coupled with an aromatic nucleophile (usually *d*-naphthyl amine) to produce a colored azo dye. Nitramines such as RDX, HMX and tetryl too, undergo alkaline cleavage to form nitrite ions, NO $_{\mathcal{T}}$ , which produce the same colored azo compound by the Griess reaction  $^{\&,9}$ . When the above scheme (Figure 2) was applied to actual explosives, it was found that while polynitro-aromatic explosives reacted as expected,



3. <u>Nitramines</u>. Example: RDX NO2

FIGURE 1 Most common chemical groups of military explosives.



FIGURE 2 Formation of colored products by the reaction of military explosives with alkali.

certain plastic explosives (and particularly those which are based on nitrate esters) reacted very slowly and required heat for the color formation. This, of course, was a great disadvantage for field work.

An improvement was achieved when dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was used as the major solvent for the alkaline reagent instead of the alcoholic or aqueous solutions that were previously used. This change accelerated the color formation with plastic explosives such as Detasheet (mostly PEIN + binder) or Semtex - H (RDX+PEIN+Binder).

A second improvement was achieved by the addition of reducing agents such as mercaptanes, ascorbic acid or hydrazine to the alkaline solution. This was effective with explosives containing nitrate esters.

The optimal composition for stage 1 (alkaline reagent) was found to include KOH in EtOH/DMSO with a small amount of reducing agent. A plausible explanation for the advantages of this formulation over the alcoholic-KOH reagent might be the following:

a. DMSO is a better solvent for plastic explosives (particularly for the binder), than water or alcohol.

b. Nitrate esters, under alkaline conditions, produce not only nitrite ions (NO<sub>2</sub>-) but also nitrate ions  $(NO_3)^{10}$  which reduce the detectability of the parent compound (as the NO<sub>3</sub>- ions do not participate in the Griess reaction). The reducing agent in the above composition converts the nitrate ions  $(NO_3^-)$  to nitrite ions  $(NO_2^-)$  which increases the sensitivity of the detection (reduction of nitrate to nitrite by hydrazine in alkaline solution was studied in detail by Sawicki and Scaringelli<sup>11</sup>).

A combination of sulfanilamide and N-(1-naphthyl)ethylenediamine for the diazotation and coupling-stage<sup>11</sup> gave more satisfactory results than the more common formulation of sulfanilic acid and  $\alpha$ (-naphthylamine. The reaction was faster and the color intensity-higher (Also, the formerly used  $\alpha$ - naphthyl amine is known to be carcinogenic).

## SAMPLING METHOD

From the very beginning of this project we decided to adopt a "dry sampling" method, the rationale being that if a positive indication for the presence of explosive is obtained, there is still a sufficient amount of material left on the object for laboratory confirmation (The efficiency of "wet sampling" methods such as cotton-wool swabbing or hand-washing with solvents is much higher, thus leaving very little on the object for further examination).

164

The sampling devices that were designed for this purpose are "detection buttons" (Figure 3). They are composed of a circle of the coarse filter paper, compressed between a plastic disc and a plastic ring. They enable the technician to apply pressure against the fingertips of suspects without contaminating the sampling surface. For sampling surfaces other than fingers, square or round pieces of the same filter paper are used.

### SENSITIVITY

The limits of detection by ETK were determined independently by the Metropolitan Police Laboratories in London and by this group. The human eye was used as detector. It was found to give a visible reaction with amounts as small as  $10^{-7}$  g TNT per button and  $10^{-9}$  g NG.



FIGURE 3

Components of ETK a. Detection buttons b. Reagent tubes.

- c. Ampule cracker d. Box

### REFERENCES

- J. Yinon and S. Zitrin, "The Analysis of Explosives", Pergamon Press, Oxford 1981, and references therein.
- T. Urbanski, S. Kwiatkowska and W. Kutiewitcz, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci, Ser. Chim., 7, 397 (1959).
- E.F. Reese in "Encyclopedia of Explosives and related Items, "B.T. Fedoroff and B.E. Sheffield, eds., PATR 2700, Picatinny Arsenal, Dover, N.J. USA, 3, C405 (1966).
- N.W. Connon, Eastman Org. Chem. Bull. 42, No. 2, Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, N.Y. (1970).
- 5. J.P. Griess, Philos. Trans. R.S. (London), 679 (1864).
- 6. J.P. Griess, Ber., 12, 426 (1879).
- 7. F. Feigel and V. Anger, "Spot Tests in Organic Analysis", Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1966.
- 8. J.B.F. Lloyd, J. Forensic Science Society 7, 198 (1967).